



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,172	09/15/2006	Harold E. Cutler	065391-0002	8804
85688	7590	07/07/2009	EXAMINER	
Harold E. Cutler			STUART, COLIN W	
317 Oak St.			ART UNIT	
Waukegan, IL 60085			PAPER NUMBER	
			3771	
			MAIL DATE	
			DELIVERY MODE	
			07/07/2009	
			PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/593,172		Applicant(s) CUTLER, HAROLD E.	
	Examiner COLIN STUART		Art Unit 3771	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) COLIN STUART. (3) Harold Cutler.
 (2) Justine Yu. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 June 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 3-6, 8-12, 14-17, and 20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Pivovarov (2004/0211430).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussions of how the prior art was applied to the claims and suggestions of possible amendments to put the application in condition for allowance took place. The prior art of record fails to show the proposed features. See attachment of proposed amendments.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Justine Yu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner	/COLIN STUART/ Examiner, AU 3771
---	-------------------------------------